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March 1, 2013
Honorable Martin O’Malley
Governor of Maryland
State House
Annapolis, Maryland 21404
Honorable Thomas V. "Mike" Miller, Jr.
President of the Senate
State House
Annapolis, Maryland 21404
Honorable Michael E. Busch
Speaker of the House
State House
Annapolis, Maryland 21404
Dear Governor, President and Speaker:
This report required by Chapter 3 of the 2007 Special Session of the General Assembly, as modified by Chapter 177 of the 2008 Session, provides information for Maryland's corporate income tax and the revenue effects of possible corporate income tax changes. Included in this report is the initial estimate of the impact of combined reporting for tax year 2010, as well as estimates for two other possible corporate income tax changes.

The estimates for the revenue changes from combined reporting are subject to the same caveats contained in the October 1, 2009 report from the Comptroller:

- these are estimates of the revenue impact for tax year 2010 only, not for future years;
- certain assumptions were made regarding the structure and details of the combined reporting-different assumptions could lead to different results; and
- it is assumed that the introduction of combined reporting would not have affected taxpayer behavior.

Tax year 2010 reports show that under the Joyce method of apportionment, corporate income tax revenues would have been $\$ 4.5$ million lower than they actually were. Under Finnigan, revenues would have increased $\$ 30.1$ million. The tax liability of corporate groups in the health care and social assistance, transportation and warehousing, and utility industries would have been almost $\$ 40.9$ million lower under Joyce, while the retail, professional, scientific, and technical services, and administrative support, waste management, and remediation services industries would have paid about $\$ 37.7$ million more in tax. The attached tables provide detail by both size of corporate group and by industry for tax year 2010.
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Prior to tax year 2010, we observed that the revenue effect of combined reporting could be either positive or negative, and now we see that the impact could be roughly revenue neutral as well. The time frame in the above chart includes periods of extraordinary economic expansion and contraction. For the above tax years, the amount of corporate income tax collected under current law, after adjusting for an extraordinary transaction and the tax rate change, declined in every year except 2010. At the national level, between 2006 and 2010, corporate profits as reported by the Bureau of Economic Analysis only declined in 2007 and 2008, and growth rates were much more volatile. While the two series are not directly comparable, largely due to fiscal year accounting, they are generally relatable. The above is evidence that Maryland's corporate tax base is not directly comparable to that of the nation as a whole, and given varying national economic conditions, aggregating activity from other states can have varying impacts on Maryland's base. Also, the profits of separate entities in Maryland may provide a larger base for losses of other members, even if all of the other members have nexus with Maryland.

The corporate information reporting also allows the estimation of two other potential changes to the corporate income tax-adoption of the throwback rule and $100 \%$ allocation of nonoperational income to Maryland. Corporate income is typically apportioned to the states in which a corporation does business, as measured by some combination of receipts, property and payroll. However, income can be earned in states in which a corporation does not have nexus (generally a state in which sales are made but in which the corporation has no payroll or property), and which is therefore not taxed by that state. The throwback rule brings this income back to the state in which the goods were produced or from which they were shipped.

The tax year 2010 corporate reporting data indicate that 144 entities would have had $\$ 4.6$ billion of income from sales made into states in which they do not have nexus thrown back to Maryland. After apportioning that income and accounting for losses, corporate income tax revenues would have been $\$ 15.7$ million higher, with 94 entities paying higher tax. If sales to the federal

Letter to Honorable Martin O’Malley
Thomas V. "Mike" Miller, Jr., and
Michael E. Busch
March 1, 2013
Page 3
government were also thrown back to Maryland, an additional $\$ 4.2$ billion would have been thrown back, and corporate income tax revenues would have increased a further $\$ 28.1$ million. The actual revenue increase had the throwback rule been in effect would have been greater, barring behavioral changes, as single-entity corporations and non-corporate entities were exempt from these reporting requirements.

Generally, income from the regular course of business is apportionable. Certain nonbusiness income, however, is only taxable by the state in which the income-producing assets are managed, usually the state of domicile. This income cannot be taxed by other states. Maryland law, however, apportions away much of this type of non-business income which no other state has the authority to tax. If $100 \%$ of nonoperational income were allocated to Maryland, corporate income tax revenues would have increased at least $\$ 1.5$ million.

Again, this increase is probably understated due to the fact that single-entity corporations and non-corporate entities did not fall under these reporting requirements. As nonoperational income results from extraordinary transactions, by definition, it can be expected to be volatile. The estimate for $100 \%$ allocation of nonoperational income for tax years 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009 was $\$ 21.5$ million, $\$ 6.4$ million, $\$ 84.7$ million, and $\$ 14.3$ million, respectively, demonstrating the volatility.

Further information on combined reporting can be found at the Maryland Business Tax Reform Commission's website, http://btrc.maryland.gov/. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me at 410-260-7450.


Andrew M. Schaufele
Director, Bureau of Revenue Estimates

cc. Honorable Peter Franchot<br>Len Foxwell<br>David Roose

## Tax Year 2010 Estimated Impact of Combined Reporting

Joyce Method of Apportionment
By Group Maryland Modified Income

| Group Maryland |
| :--- |
| Modified Income |


| Winners |  |  | Losers |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No Change } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | \$ | Average | \# | \$ | Average |  | \# | \$ |
| 568 | $(88,686,084)$ | $(156,137)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 882 | 1,450 | $(88,686,084)$ |
| 134 | $(867,339)$ | $(6,473)$ | 140 | 178,812 | 1,277 | 64 | 338 | $(688,527)$ |
| 37 | $(392,041)$ | $(10,596)$ | 25 | 145,227 | 5,809 | 11 | 73 | $(246,813)$ |
| 144 | $(3,329,325)$ | $(23,120)$ | 134 | 1,015,022 | 7,575 | 27 | 305 | $(2,314,303)$ |
| 112 | $(7,819,883)$ | $(69,820)$ | 87 | 1,416,369 | 16,280 | 12 | 211 | $(6,403,514)$ |
| 120 | $(5,227,348)$ | $(43,561)$ | 138 | 2,656,235 | 19,248 | 20 | 278 | $(2,571,113)$ |
| 201 | $(16,237,907)$ | $(80,786)$ | 192 | 11,760,834 | 61,254 | 17 | 410 | $(4,477,073)$ |
| 90 | $(15,506,521)$ | $(172,295)$ | 126 | 18,715,591 | 148,536 | 7 | 223 | 3,209,070 |
| 51 | $(24,059,152)$ | $(471,748)$ | 84 | 17,345,100 | 206,489 | 6 | 141 | $(6,714,052)$ |
| 25 | $(7,023,604)$ | $(280,944)$ | 60 | 44,058,869 | 734,314 | 3 | 88 | 37,035,266 |
| 35 | $(38,785,906)$ | $(1,108,169)$ | 76 | 106,184,511 | 1,397,165 | 1 | 112 | 67,398,605 |
| 1,517 | $(207,935,110)$ | $(137,070)$ | 1,062 | 203,476,571 | 191,598 | 1,050 | 3,629 | $(4,458,539)$ |
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## Tax Year 2010 Estimated Impact of Combined Reporting

## Finnigan Method of Apportionment

By Group Maryland Modified Income

| Group Maryland Modified Income | Winners |  |  | Losers |  |  | No Change\# | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \$ | Average | \# | \$ | Average |  | \# | \$ |
| Non-Taxable | 568 | $(88,686,084)$ | $(156,137)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 882 | 1,450 | $(88,686,084)$ |
| Under \$500,000 | 132 | $(865,955)$ | $(6,560)$ | 141 | 179,208 | 1,271 | 65 | 338 | $(686,748)$ |
| \$500,000 to \$999,999 | 35 | $(389,999)$ | $(11,143)$ | 27 | 152,612 | 5,652 | 11 | 73 | $(237,388)$ |
| \$1,000,000 to \$4,999,999 | 137 | $(3,318,075)$ | $(24,220)$ | 145 | 1,048,910 | 7,234 | 23 | 305 | $(2,269,164)$ |
| \$5,000,000 to \$9,999,999 | 106 | $(7,768,528)$ | $(73,288)$ | 97 | 1,649,770 | 17,008 | 8 | 211 | $(6,118,759)$ |
| \$10,000,000 to \$24,999,999 | 113 | $(5,149,487)$ | $(45,571)$ | 149 | 3,036,617 | 20,380 | 16 | 278 | $(2,112,870)$ |
| \$25,000,000 to \$99,999,999 | 176 | $(15,560,474)$ | $(88,412)$ | 223 | 13,785,451 | 61,818 | 11 | 410 | $(1,775,023)$ |
| \$100,000,000 to \$249,999,999 | 80 | $(14,911,568)$ | $(186,395)$ | 139 | 22,604,543 | 162,623 | 4 | 223 | 7,692,975 |
| \$250,000,000 to \$499,999,999 | 47 | $(22,536,757)$ | $(479,505)$ | 92 | 21,558,947 | 234,336 | 2 | 141 | $(977,810)$ |
| \$500,000,000 to \$999,999,999 | 22 | $(6,774,425)$ | $(307,928)$ | 64 | 49,493,867 | 773,342 | 2 | 88 | 42,719,441 |
| \$1,000,000,000 and Over | 35 | $(37,050,170)$ | $(1,058,576)$ | 76 | 119,562,435 | 1,573,190 | 1 | 112 | 82,512,266 |
| Total | 1,451 | $(203,011,522)$ | $(139,911)$ | 1,153 | 233,072,359 | 202,144 | 1,025 | 3,629 | 30,060,837 |
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## Tax Year 2010 Estimated Impact of Combined Reporting

## Joyce Method of Apportionment

By Predominant Industry, Measured by Payroll, of Group
Industry
Agriculture
Mining
Utilities
Construction
Manufacturing
Wholesale Trade
Retail Trade
Transportation and Warehousing
Information
Finance and Insurance
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
Professional, Scientific and Technical Services
Management of Companies
Admin. Support, Waste Mgmt., and Remediation Svcs.
Educational Services
Health Care and Social Assistance
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation
Accommodation and Food Services
Other Services
Misreported
Total

| Winners |  |  | Losers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | \$ | Average | \# | \$ | Average |
| 4 | $(63,657)$ | $(15,914)$ | 5 | 3,095 | 619 |
| 10 | $(1,479,275)$ | $(147,928)$ | 6 | 189,333 | 31,556 |
| 21 | $(24,881,739)$ | $(1,184,845)$ | 6 | 41,083 | 6,847 |
| 53 | $(1,567,712)$ | $(29,579)$ | 24 | 1,142,572 | 47,607 |
| 388 | $(43,643,988)$ | $(112,485)$ | 275 | 38,475,965 | 139,913 |
| 115 | $(12,217,186)$ | $(106,236)$ | 106 | 15,247,498 | 143,844 |
| 87 | $(9,903,879)$ | $(113,838)$ | 89 | 26,714,817 | 300,166 |
| 73 | $(11,156,503)$ | $(152,829)$ | 34 | 1,710,445 | 50,307 |
| 91 | $(20,076,304)$ | $(220,619)$ | 63 | 20,415,656 | 324,058 |
| 147 | $(29,568,268)$ | $(201,145)$ | 112 | 31,126,435 | 277,915 |
| 76 | $(6,531,272)$ | $(85,938)$ | 38 | 1,051,802 | 27,679 |
| 190 | $(20,594,002)$ | $(108,389)$ | 137 | 33,866,780 | 247,203 |
| 88 | $(9,766,309)$ | $(110,981)$ | 45 | 10,690,764 | 237,573 |
| 50 | $(2,764,081)$ | $(55,282)$ | 42 | 10,377,275 | 247,078 |
| 18 | $(1,974,813)$ | $(109,712)$ | 6 | 1,395,898 | 232,650 |
| 48 | $(7,958,542)$ | $(165,803)$ | 24 | 1,392,036 | 58,002 |
| 11 | $(268,520)$ | $(24,411)$ | 4 | 240,589 | 60,147 |
| 28 | $(3,133,746)$ | $(111,920)$ | 24 | 4,696,486 | 195,687 |
| 16 | $(373,416)$ | $(23,338)$ | 19 | 2,428,460 | 127,814 |
| 3 | $(11,899)$ | $(3,966)$ | 3 | 2,269,583 | 756,528 |
| 1,517 | $(207,935,110)$ | $(137,070)$ | 1,062 | 203,476,571 | 191,598 |


| No Change \# | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \$ |
| 1 | 10 | $(60,561)$ |
| 6 | 22 | $(1,289,942)$ |
| 13 | 40 | $(24,840,656)$ |
| 58 | 135 | $(425,140)$ |
| 201 | 864 | $(5,168,023)$ |
| 57 | 278 | 3,030,312 |
| 53 | 229 | 16,810,938 |
| 32 | 139 | $(9,446,058)$ |
| 66 | 220 | 339,352 |
| 125 | 384 | 1,558,167 |
| 116 | 230 | $(5,479,470)$ |
| 141 | 468 | 13,272,778 |
| 78 | 211 | 924,455 |
| 26 | 118 | 7,613,194 |
| 7 | 31 | $(578,915)$ |
| 15 | 87 | $(6,566,506)$ |
| 9 | 24 | $(27,931)$ |
| 25 | 77 | 1,562,740 |
| 18 | 53 | 2,055,044 |
| 3 | 9 | 2,257,684 |
| 1,050 | 3,629 | $(4,458,539)$ |
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Tax Year 2010 Estimated Distributional Impact of Combined Reporting
Joyce Method of Apportionment
By Predominant Industry, Measured by Payroll, of Group

| Industry | Winners |  | Losers |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No Change } \\ \quad \# \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \$ | \# | \$ |  | \# | \$ Change |
| Agriculture | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | -37.5\% |
| Mining | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | -82.0\% |
| Utilities | 1.4\% | 12.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | -78.2\% |
| Construction | 3.5\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 5.5\% | 3.7\% | -7.2\% |
| Manufacturing | 25.6\% | 21.0\% | 25.9\% | 18.9\% | 19.1\% | 23.8\% | -3.4\% |
| Wholesale Trade | 7.6\% | 5.9\% | 10.0\% | 7.5\% | 5.4\% | 7.7\% | 7.7\% |
| Retail Trade | 5.7\% | 4.8\% | 8.4\% | 13.1\% | 5.0\% | 6.3\% | 25.4\% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 4.8\% | 5.4\% | 3.2\% | 0.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.8\% | -37.1\% |
| Information | 6.0\% | 9.7\% | 5.9\% | 10.0\% | 6.3\% | 6.1\% | 0.8\% |
| Finance and Insurance | 9.7\% | 14.2\% | 10.5\% | 15.3\% | 11.9\% | 10.6\% | 1.5\% |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | 5.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.5\% | 11.0\% | 6.3\% | -35.8\% |
| Professional, Scientific and Technical Services | 12.5\% | 9.9\% | 12.9\% | 16.6\% | 13.4\% | 12.9\% | 18.3\% |
| Management of Companies | 5.8\% | 4.7\% | 4.2\% | 5.3\% | 7.4\% | 5.8\% | 3.7\% |
| Admin. Support, Waste Mgmt., and Remediation Svcs. | 3.3\% | 1.3\% | 4.0\% | 5.1\% | 2.5\% | 3.3\% | 60.3\% |
| Educational Services | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | -6.9\% |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 3.2\% | 3.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% | -45.2\% |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | -4.5\% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.1\% | 21.4\% |
| Other Services | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 67.6\% |
| Misreported | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1371.0\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | -0.7\% |

All percentages are a share of that respective column's total, except the Total \$ Change column which is the change in that industry's liability
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## Tax Year 2010 Estimated Impact of Combined Reporting

## Finnigan Method of Apportionment

By Predominant Industry, Measured by Payroll, of Group
Industry
Agriculture
Mining
Utilities
Construction
Manufacturing
Wholesale Trade
Retail Trade
Transportation and Warehousing
Information
Finance and Insurance
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
Professional, Scientific and Technical Services
Management of Companies
Admin. Support, Waste Mgmt., and Remediation Svcs.
Educational Services
Health Care and Social Assistance
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation
Accommodation and Food Services
Other Services
Misreported
Total

| Winners |  |  | Losers |  |  | No Change | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | \$ | Average | \# | \$ | Average | \# | \# | \$ |
| 4 | $(63,657)$ | $(15,914)$ | 5 | 57,403 | 11,481 | 1 | 10 | $(6,254)$ |
| 10 | $(1,478,934)$ | $(147,893)$ | 6 | 220,531 | 36,755 | 6 | 22 | $(1,258,403)$ |
| 21 | $(24,881,739)$ | $(1,184,845)$ | 6 | 41,083 | 6,847 | 13 | 40 | $(24,840,656)$ |
| 53 | $(1,564,827)$ | $(29,525)$ | 24 | 1,143,406 | 47,642 | 58 | 135 | $(421,421)$ |
| 345 | $(41,362,755)$ | $(119,892)$ | 330 | 53,534,516 | 162,226 | 189 | 864 | 12,171,761 |
| 113 | $(12,155,988)$ | $(107,575)$ | 109 | 15,633,617 | 143,428 | 56 | 278 | 3,477,629 |
| 87 | $(9,861,647)$ | $(113,352)$ | 91 | 27,691,124 | 304,298 | 51 | 229 | 17,829,478 |
| 71 | $(11,141,351)$ | $(156,920)$ | 36 | 1,821,806 | 50,606 | 32 | 139 | $(9,319,546)$ |
| 88 | $(19,782,509)$ | $(224,801)$ | 69 | 26,506,528 | 384,153 | 63 | 220 | 6,724,019 |
| 145 | $(29,168,166)$ | $(201,160)$ | 116 | 35,115,659 | 302,721 | 123 | 384 | 5,947,493 |
| 75 | $(6,530,573)$ | $(87,074)$ | 39 | 1,081,223 | 27,724 | 116 | 230 | $(5,449,350)$ |
| 185 | $(20,261,434)$ | $(109,521)$ | 143 | 35,564,192 | 248,701 | 140 | 468 | 15,302,758 |
| 82 | $(8,888,024)$ | $(108,391)$ | 55 | 11,798,106 | 214,511 | 74 | 211 | 2,910,081 |
| 48 | $(2,746,773)$ | $(57,224)$ | 44 | 10,413,649 | 236,674 | 26 | 118 | 7,666,876 |
| 18 | $(1,881,943)$ | $(104,552)$ | 6 | 1,397,045 | 232,841 | 7 | 31 | $(484,898)$ |
| 48 | $(7,462,756)$ | $(155,474)$ | 24 | 1,394,619 | 58,109 | 15 | 87 | $(6,068,137)$ |
| 11 | $(268,491)$ | $(24,408)$ | 4 | 240,589 | 60,147 | 9 | 24 | $(27,902)$ |
| 28 | $(3,125,745)$ | $(111,634)$ | 24 | 4,713,864 | 196,411 | 25 | 77 | 1,588,118 |
| 16 | $(372,310)$ | $(23,269)$ | 19 | 2,433,815 | 128,096 | 18 | 53 | 2,061,505 |
| 3 | $(11,899)$ | $(3,966)$ | 3 | 2,269,583 | 756,528 | 3 | 9 | 2,257,684 |
| 1,451 | $(203,011,522)$ | $(139,911)$ | 1,153 | 233,072,359 | 202,144 | 1,025 | 3,629 | 30,060,837 |
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Tax Year 2010 Estimated Distributional Impact of Combined Reporting
Finnigan Method of Apportionment
By Predominant Industry, Measured by Payroll, of Group

| Industry | Winners |  | Losers |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No Change } \\ \# \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \$ | \# | \$ |  | \# | \$ |
| Agriculture | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | -3.9\% |
| Mining | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | -80.0\% |
| Utilities | 1.4\% | 12.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | -78.2\% |
| Construction | 3.7\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 5.7\% | 3.7\% | -7.2\% |
| Manufacturing | 23.8\% | 20.4\% | 28.6\% | 23.0\% | 18.4\% | 23.8\% | 8.1\% |
| Wholesale Trade | 7.8\% | 6.0\% | 9.5\% | 6.7\% | 5.5\% | 7.7\% | 8.9\% |
| Retail Trade | 6.0\% | 4.9\% | 7.9\% | 11.9\% | 5.0\% | 6.3\% | 26.9\% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 4.9\% | 5.5\% | 3.1\% | 0.8\% | 3.1\% | 3.8\% | -36.6\% |
| Information | 6.1\% | 9.7\% | 6.0\% | 11.4\% | 6.1\% | 6.1\% | 15.1\% |
| Finance and Insurance | 10.0\% | 14.4\% | 10.1\% | 15.1\% | 12.0\% | 10.6\% | 5.6\% |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | 5.2\% | 3.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.5\% | 11.3\% | 6.3\% | -35.6\% |
| Professional, Scientific and Technical Services | 12.7\% | 10.0\% | 12.4\% | 15.3\% | 13.7\% | 12.9\% | 21.0\% |
| Management of Companies | 5.7\% | 4.4\% | 4.8\% | 5.1\% | 7.2\% | 5.8\% | 11.5\% |
| Admin. Support, Waste Mgmt., and Remediation Svcs. | 3.3\% | 1.4\% | 3.8\% | 4.5\% | 2.5\% | 3.3\% | 60.7\% |
| Educational Services | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | -5.8\% |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% | 2.4\% | -41.8\% |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | -4.5\% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 1.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.1\% | 21.8\% |
| Other Services | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 67.8\% |
| Misreported | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1371.0\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 4.8\% |

* All percentages are a share of that respective column's total, except the Total \$ Change column which is the change in that industry's liability

